

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. 2606 10/642,383 08/14/2003 Marc Mathews EXAMINER 7590 07/01/2004 LAYNO, BENJAMIN Marc Mathews PO Box 10165 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Zephyr Cove, NV 89448

3712 DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			V V
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/642,383	MATHEWS, MARC	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Benjamin H. Layno	3712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP! THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period from the properties of the period for reply will, by statue and the period for reply will, by statue and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 9-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ier.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li></ol>	nts have been received in A	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)	2)
. apor 110(o)/mail Dato		·	

Application/Control Number: 10/642,383

Art Unit: 3712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stern.

The patent to Stern discloses a gaming display, see page 2, paragraph [0034], for displaying playing cards forming poker hands. The gaming display comprises a plurality of independently and randomly selected symbols, playing cards, disposed in a rectangular positional array of four rows and four columns of windows, Fig. 2. The gaming display further includes four rows of paylines 48-54, four columns of paylines 40-46 and two diagonals of paylines 56, 58. Each payline having four symbols, playing cards. Each symbol, playing card, is comprised of a combination of color (black or red) and alphanumeric character (Ace, 2, 3,......J, Q, K). There are fifty-two playing cards in a conventional deck, thus each window will randomly selectively display one of fifty-two (which is at least thirty-eight) different character symbols.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,383

Art Unit: 3712

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stern as applied to claim 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Sidley.

The patent to Sidley discloses a poker gaming machine wherein the playing cards may be displayed in four differently color suits, col. 19, lines 5-8. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to display Stern's playing cards in four differently colored suits in order to more clearly distinguish the suits.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 13-17 are allowed.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: None of the cited references alone or in combination teach the claimed thirty-eight different character symbols divided into four different colored symbols wherein eighteen symbols are of a first color, eighteen symbols are of a second color, one symbol is of a third color

Application/Control Number: 10/642,383

Art Unit: 3712

and one symbol is of a fourth color. The patent to Mathews discloses a roulette-type game having four balls. The patents to Bergmann, and Wichinsky disclose gaming machines having roulette wheels. None of the cited references alone or in combination teach the claims gaming apparatus comprising a display screen for displaying the operation of a roulette wheel of the type having four different colored balls, said visual display region including four windows, each window associated with one of said balls on the roulette wheel and displaying a symbol displayed in a slot within which the associated ball falls ant the end of the gaming sequence.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin H. Layno whose telephone number is (703) 308-1815. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin HALayno Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3712

bhl